Adsorption of Crystal Violet to the Silica–Water Interface Monitored by Evanescent Wave Cavity Ring-Down Spectroscopy
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Evanescent wave cavity ring-down spectroscopy (EW-CRDS) has been used to investigate the adsorption of crystal violet (CV+) to a charged silica–water interface as a function of bulk pH by the direct measurement of the absorbance of the CV+ chromophore. Absolute absorbances of order 10^{-4} have been routinely detected, showing significant variation in the structure of the silica–water interface. At low ionic strength, the interfacial absorbance of CV+ shows a monotonic increase with increasing pH. A simple competitive Langmuir adsorption model, which provides values for the silica surface parameters that are in broad agreement with the existing literature values, has been fit to the data. In addition, interfacial absorbance has been monitored as a function of pH for CV+ solutions maintained at high ionic strength with NaCl, KCl, and CaCl2. As pH increases, the CV+ interfacial absorbance exhibits a pronounced maximum, which occurs at pH 8.7 for Na+ and K+ and at pH 7.9 for Ca2+, followed by a sharp decrease. This trend is attributed to competitive binding between the metal cations and CV+ to the silica surface binding site, and it has not been observed in previous measurements using second-harmonic generation. The simple Langmuir model, however, does not accurately describe the high ionic strength behavior.

Introduction

Cavity ring-down spectroscopy (CRDS) has proven to be an important technique for the detection of small numbers of molecules in the gas phase1,2 by direct absorption. Recently, CRDS has been extended to samples in bulk liquids.3–5 It is also possible to use CRDS to detect absorption by species at interfaces often using an attenuated total reflection (ATR) configuration.5–12 In particular, Pipino, Hudgens, and Huie8 studied iodine at a silica interface using a variation of CRDS called evanescent wave cavity ring-down spectroscopy (EW-CRDS). The evanescent wave formed during the total internal reflection (TIR) event may interact with molecules present in the medium of lower refractive index, causing a reduction in the reflected light intensity. CRDS is used to detect a very small change in the absolute absorbance, allowing a significant increase in the sensitivity over that available to conventional ATR experiments.13,14 The incorporation of a Dove prism as the TIR element within the ring-down cavity, presented in this paper, allows a particularly simple implementation of EW-CRDS.

In this paper, we present an application of the Dove prism cavity to the study of the solid–liquid interface by monitoring the absolute absorbance of a charged chromophore within the silica–water interface structure. The chromophore is attracted to the interface by the surface charge resulting from dissociated surface silanol groups in response to the bulk pH. The structure and absorption spectrum of CV+ are shown in Figure 1. The packing of the large chromophore may prevent a simple one-to-one binding on each available site. The absolute absorbance of the chromophore is monitored as a function of the bulk solution pH with both low and high ionic strength. The results are interpreted using a Gouy–Chapman mean-field theory of charged electrode interfaces15 incorporating a localized binding Langmuir adsorption model for CV+ with the silanol sites. The Langmuir model includes simple competitive binding between all positively charged species and the negatively charged dissociated silanol sites. The validity of this model is tested with competitive binding studies that were performed for cations.
Na	extsuperscript{+}, K	extsuperscript{+}, and Ca	extsuperscript{2+} by adding the chloride salts of these ions to the CV	extsuperscript{+} solutions. The role of surface morphology on the nanometer scale is discussed in the context of the evolution of the interface structure with increasing pH.

### Experimental Methods

**EW-CRDS Apparatus.** The principles of CRDS have been described elsewhere\textsuperscript{16} and are only briefly mentioned here. Figure 2 shows a diagram of the experimental configuration used in the EW-CRDS measurements. An optical resonator was constructed using two high-reflectivity \((R > 99.99\%\), Los Gatos Research\) mirrors placed opposite one another to form a linear cavity. A pulse of radiation entering the cavity through the back of one of the mirrors makes many round-trips, losing some intensity at each bounce. Light leaking from the cavity is detected by a photomultiplier (R4632, Hamamatsu Corporation) and decays exponentially with a \(1/\tau\) ring-down lifetime, \(\tau\), which is of order 15 \(\mu\)s for an empty cavity. A Dove prism with antireflection coatings \((R < 0.25\%\) on the entrance and exit faces (Melles Griot, BK7 glass) was introduced as the TIR element within the cavity. The finesse of the cavity containing the prism is reduced, but ring-down lifetimes of order 150–200 ns were obtained for a clean prism surface, which allowed a dynamic range of 45–200 ns. The lower end of this range represents a limit determined by the digitization rate and hence the number of points available to determine \(\tau\). The maximum \(\tau\) corresponds to around 70 passes over the same sample surface area per ring-down lifetime or some 280 passes during the entire ring-down profile.

A flow cell was constructed above the prism surface to allow the prepared solutions to be passed over the TIR interface. The flow cell was made from Plexiglas and sealed to the TIR surface with a silicone gasket. The flow cell had an estimated volume of 100 \(\mu\)L. The silanol groups on the surface of the gasket were passivated following the procedure of Wadkins and Ligler\textsuperscript{17} using methyltrimethoxysilane to cap the silanol sites on the silicone gasket, preventing unwanted adsorption of CV	extsuperscript{+} during the experiments. The extent of passivation, however, was not determined. Solutions of known pH were prepared outside the flow cell and pumped over the TIR interface with an HPLC pump (Beckman 110A) connected with Teflon tubing.

**Crystal Violet Solutions.** Sample solutions were prepared using crystal violet (Aldrich ACS reagent, 95\%) that was stored in a desiccator and protected from light. NaCl, KCl, and CaCl\(_2\) (Aldrich Chemical Co.) were used as received. The solvent for all sample solutions was ultrapure water (with a measured resistivity of 18 M\(\Omega\) cm) obtained from a Milli-Q Millipore or Barnstead Nanopure purification system. Small amounts of concentrated NaOH and HCl solutions were used to vary the pH without significantly altering the dye concentration. Solution pH was monitored using a Fisher Accumet AB15 pH meter.

**EW-CRDS Interfacial Absorbance Measurements.** Careful preparation of the prism surface was required to achieve consistent, reproducible results. The TIR surface of the prism was first soaked in methanol for 15 min followed by NaOH \((> 0.6 \text{ M})\) for 10 min and 50/50 H\(_2\)SO\(_4\)/HNO\(_3\) (concentrated) for 15 min. The prism was then rinsed thoroughly with ultrapure water and wiped with methanol.\textsuperscript{18} The flow cell was assembled and flushed with methanol.

Radiation from a dye laser (Spectra-Physics, PDL3) at 640 nm with a pulse duration of approximately 5 ns was introduced into the cavity. The initial ring-down lifetime, \(\tau_0\), was determined with ultrapure water present within the flow cell. The solution of CV	extsuperscript{+} was prepared at the chosen pH and ionic strength and then pumped into the flow cell, causing the ring-down lifetime to change to a new value, \(\tau\). The absolute interfacial absorbance per pass through the sample, \(A\) (with the conventional log scale), was calculated using the equation

\[
2.303A = \frac{\Delta \tau}{\tau_0} \frac{t}{2}
\]

where \(\Delta \tau = \tau_0 - \tau\) is the change in the ring-down lifetime without and with the absorber present in the flow cell and \(t\) is the round-trip time of the light pulse in the cavity. In general, the absorbance \(A\) is related to the absorption coefficient \(\alpha\) of the sample through the equation \(2.303A = \alpha d\)\(_e\). The parameter \(d_e\) is an “effective path length,” which replaces the path length \(l\) that is conventionally used for bulk UV–vis absorbance measurements. Using the low-absorption approximation \((\alpha d_e < 0.1\) ), one finds that the value of \(d_e\) depends on the wavelength and polarization of the incident light, the angle of incidence on the interface, and the indices of refraction of the two dielectric media.\textsuperscript{13} For our experiments, these factors did not vary, and \(\alpha d_e \approx 0.1\). In this regime, the Beer–Lambert law is obeyed in the same manner as for ATR, and \(d_e\) can be considered to be constant.

These interfacial absorption measurements were repeated for several pH values varying between 2 and 10.5. Experiments were performed with ascending pH, adjusting the pH of the stock solution with small quantities of concentrated NaOH, and without cleaning the prism surface at each new pH. The upper limit of the pH was found to be 10.5, above which the CV	extsuperscript{+} becomes oxidized and loses its color.\textsuperscript{19}

**Bulk Solution Absorbance.** Absorbance at 640 nm was monitored from pH 2 to 10.5 for both a bulk aqueous solution of CV	extsuperscript{+} and a bulk CV	extsuperscript{+} solution containing 0.25 M NaCl (Figure 3). These data were used for comparison with the interfacial absorption data obtained with EW-CRDS. All bulk absorbance measurements were obtained using a UV–vis spectrophotometer (HP 8453).

### Results

Figure 3 shows the absorbance of 640-nm light by CV	extsuperscript{+} versus pH for a bulk solution containing 32.7 \(\mu\)M CV	extsuperscript{+}. From pH 2 to 4, the absorbance decreases significantly as the conversion from the protonated form to the structure shown in Figure 1 is completed.\textsuperscript{20} A polynomial fit to these data was used to provide a calibration curve for the extinction coefficient as a function of bulk solution pH. With this information, the
variation of CV$^+$ absorbance as a function of pH can be eliminated from the interface absorbance measurements. Similarly, the bulk absorbance by CV$^+$ in the presence of increased ionic strength (0.25 M NaCl) is plotted in Figure 3 and shows no significant change with increasing bulk pH. The variation of the bulk extinction coefficient of CV$^+$ as a function of bulk pH may differ from the behavior of the extinction coefficient at the silica–water interface owing to surface pH values and the presence of the electric field at the charged interface. These possible differences are discussed later.

Figure 4 presents the 640-nm EW-CRDS absorbance by CV$^+$ at the silica–water interface as a function of pH. Bulk crystal violet solution concentrations are (●) 71.3, (●) 69.2, and (●) 66.5 μM. No other salts have been added. (Errors bars of 1σ on each point are approximately 1–2% and are not seen on the graph.)

This 640-nm absorbance by CV$^+$ at the silica–water interface shows a monotonically increasing trend with increasing pH. In contrast, Figures 5–7 demonstrate that maintaining a higher bulk ionic strength with a chloride salt produces a maximum in the observed CV$^+$ absorbance at alkaline pH. The ionic strength of the solution was kept constant at 0.25 M for the three electrolytes—NaCl, KCl, and CaCl₂. The CV$^+$ interfacial absorbance for the NaCl trial (Figure 5) shows a pronounced maximum at bulk pH 8.7. The absorbance then decreases rapidly as the pH is increased. The same trend is observed for the CV$^+$/KCl solution (Figure 6), with a maximum CV$^+$ absorbance at bulk pH 8.7 followed by a decrease in absorbance to higher pH.

Figure 7 shows interfacial absorbance versus pH for the CV$^+$ solution containing divalent cation Ca$^{2+}$ at two different concentrations, 0.083 and 0.25 M, that correspond to ionic strengths of 0.25 and 0.75 M. The lower-concentration solution, at the same ionic strength as for the Na$^+$ and K$^+$ experiments,
shows a trend similar to that observed for the monovalent cations. The absorbance maximum occurs at a somewhat lower pH value of 7.9. In contrast to Figures 5 and 6, however, the absolute interfacial absorbance approaches a constant value at a bulk pH of 10. The 0.75 M ionic strength solution shows a greater overall absorbance for CV⁺ at the interface with a differently shaped curve. The absorbance rises to a maximum value for lower bulk pH before showing a pronounced decrease in absorbance at a slightly different pH value (7.7) followed by leveling out at pH 10.

Discussion

CV⁺ Adsorption at Low Ionic Strength. We present a model of the silica–water interface that is able to account for the binding of crystal violet as a function of pH, as shown in Figure 4. This model is based on a mean-field theory for describing the potential at an electrode combined with a Langmuir isotherm treatment to describe the variation of the competitive binding of the positively charged chromophore and metal cations with available negatively charged silanol binding sites. This model is able to describe successfully some of our observations but not the behavior of the interface at higher ionic strengths.

The silica–water interface has been studied previously by nonlinear optical techniques, ATR with thermal lens detection, and fluorescence microscopy. In addition, the silica surface has been studied by cross-polarization magic angle spinning NMR revealing the presence of two different surface Si signals having a negative δ with respect to the solution TMS standard. This observation is consistent with the second-harmonic generation (SHG) studies by Ong, Zhao, and Eisenthal suggesting that the silica surface contains two types of titratable silanol groups. Group 1 constitutes 19% of the surface silanol sites and has a pKₐ(1) value of 4.5; group 2 comprises the remaining 81% of the surface sites and has a pKₐ(2) value of 8.5. A study by Dong, Pappu, and Xu reported a silanol group density of 8.2 x 10¹³ cm⁻² or 0.8 groups nm⁻² (Table 1), although estimates have varied up to 8 groups nm⁻². As the bulk pH is increased, the silica surface becomes more negatively charged, reaching a surface potential with respect to zero in the bulk of -140 mV at pH 10. A precise estimate of the potential depends, however, on the theoretical model of the interface structure. The relationship between charge on an electrode surface and surface potential is described by mean-field theories that are the foundation of the Gouy–Chapman and Stern models of diffuse layers and bilayers. Ong, Zhao, and Eisenthal reported the formation of an ionic layer next to the charged surface, and they suggested that the water molecules within the layer are polarized by the electric field of the interface.

Localized binding of a charged chromophore to a binding site at the interface, however, cannot be described simply by a mean-field description. It is conventionally represented by an adsorption isotherm, the simplest of which is the Langmuir isotherm for the description of monolayer adsorption. The size and binding orientation of the chromophore become important in relation to the density of the deprotonated silanol groups. CV⁺ (Figure 1) is a large cation with a surface area of ~120 Å², and CV⁺ is believed to lie nearly flat on the silica surface during adsorption. However, this orientation may be prevented by steric interactions if two silanol sites are close to one another, inhibiting the ion from binding with a flat geometry to both sites. The surface morphology on the nanometer scale may further complicate the interface structure, as has been demonstrated using fluorescence microscopy. A cationic fluorophore shows a marked propensity for binding at nanometer-scale topographical features on the surface.

The prism surface is polished to ½10 at 633 nm, so we would expect surface morphology on the 60-nm length scale. The penetration depth of the evanescent wave into the solution is approximately 125 nm in the current configuration. Formally, molecules within the entire electric field of the evanescent wave, which decays exponentially with distance from the surface, will contribute to the measured absorbance. The total EW-CRDS absorbance is the Laplace transform of the concentration profile, c(Δ), for each angle of incidence. Calculations using a Gouy–Chapman exponential decay of the potential into the solution suggest that the contribution to the absorbance from molecules further from the surface is smaller, although as the ionic strength increases a bilayer structure will form. A complete description of the chromophore binding must include all of these binding-geometry factors as the interface structure evolves with increasing surface charge.

The theoretical model used here for the silica–water interface is a simple diprotic surface with two types of silanol group and a group density per unit area. The parameters for the model are based on the SHG studies of a fused silica–water interface by Ong, Zhao, and Eisenthal and are listed in Table 1. The density of the silanol groups on the surface, ρ, may be related to the surface charge, σ, by the expression:

\[
\sigma = \rho e \left( \frac{r}{1 + \frac{[H^+]}{K_a(1)}} + \frac{(1 - r)}{1 + \frac{[H^+]}{K_a(2)}} \right)
\]
where \( r \) is the proportion of group 1 with the lower \( pK_a \) value, \([H^+]_s\) is the surface concentration of \( H^+\), and \( K_a(1) \) and \( K_a(2) \) are the binding constants for the silanol groups given by

\[
K_a = \frac{[SiO^-][H^+]_S}{[SiOH]} \quad (3)
\]

The potential at the negatively charged silica–water interface attracts the positively charged chromophore ions, or co-ions, enhancing the concentration of these ions at the interface compared with that in the bulk solution. The negatively charged sites, or co-ions, are conversely depleted in the interface compared with their bulk concentrations. The concentration of counterions or co-ions at the surface is given by the Boltzmann distribution

\[
n_{i=0} = n_w \exp\left(\frac{-q \phi_0}{kT}\right) \quad (4)
\]

where \( \phi_0 \) is the surface potential, \( q \) is the charge on the ion (positive or negative), \( k \) is the Boltzmann constant, \( T \) is the temperature, and \( n_w \) is the concentration of the ionic species in the bulk solution. The Boltzmann factor increases the concentrations of counterions (e.g., \( Na^+ \)) and all positive ions including the protons at the surface by up to 6 orders of magnitude over their bulk concentrations for a surface potential of \(-120 \text{ mV}\). Hence, the surface pH depends on the surface potential and the surface charge density. Equation 4 also describes the corresponding decrease in the co-ion (e.g., \( Cl^- \)) concentrations. The co-ions and the counterions balance the surface charge \( \sigma \), as expressed by the Grahame equation

\[
\sigma^2 = 2 \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon r kT \left( \sum_i n_{i,w} \exp\left(\frac{-q \phi_0}{kT}\right) - \sum_i n_{i,e} \right) \quad (5)
\]

where \( \varepsilon_0 \) is the vacuum permittivity, \( \varepsilon_r \) is the relative permittivity of the medium, and \( n_{i,w} \) is the bulk concentration of each ionic species, \( n_i \). For 1:1 electrolytes, this equation reduces to the conventional Gouy–Chapman expression for the potential. Equations 2 and 5 are combined to determine the potential at the silica surface, \( \phi_0 \).

Increasing the solution pH, therefore, increases the number of dissociated silanol groups, producing an increased surface charge and hence surface potential. The larger number of SiOH groups provides a population of possible binding sites for the charged chromophore, \( CV^+ \). Thus, the density of silanol binding sites is a function of the \( pH \), \( \rho(pH) \). For the experiment shown in Figure 4, \( CV^+ \) is assumed to bind competitively with the \( Na^+ \) introduced as NaOH during the preparation of the solutions. The simplest description of the competitive binding is the formation of a monolayer of counterions occupying the available charged silanol sites. This description is given by the Langmuir adsorption model, modified for competitive binding:

\[
\theta = \frac{K_{CV^+}[CV^+]_S}{1 + K_{CV^+}[CV^+]_S + K_{Na^+}[Na^+]_S} \quad (6)
\]

\( K_{CV^+} \) and \( K_{Na^+} \) are the binding equilibrium constants for \( CV^+ \) and \( Na^+ \); and \( \theta \) is the fractional surface coverage.

In the case of the data shown in Figure 4, the monolayer adsorption by \( CV^+ \) dominates the observed absorbance. The absorbance of the molecules within a single monolayer is expressed as

\[
A = \rho \theta \sigma_i \quad (7)
\]

where \( \rho \) is the surface density of silanol groups, \( \theta \) is the fractional surface coverage, and \( \sigma_i \) is the absorption cross section of the electronic transition. The cross section is a function of the surface \( pH \) and is related to the molar extinction coefficient \( \epsilon(pH) \) (determined from Figure 3) by \( \epsilon(pH) \times 1000/N_0 \) in units of \( \text{cm}^2 \). By replacing \([Na^+]_s\), \([CV^+]_s\), and \([H^+]_s\), with the appropriate Boltzmann distributions and combining eqs 2, 5, 6, and 7, the interfacial absorbance \( A \) was modeled as a function of parameters \( \rho, r, K_{CV^+}, K_{Na^+}, pK_a(1) \), and \( pK_a(2) \). The model was fitted to one of the low ionic strength data sets shown in Figure 4, allowing these parameters to vary using a Levenberg–Marquardt nonlinear fitting routine. Table 1 presents the results, and Figure 8 shows the fit to the data. Using the values for these parameters, the surface potential \( \phi_0 \) was calculated for each \( pH \) value, as illustrated in Figure 8.

This Langmuir competitive binding model shows a trend in surface potential with \( pH \) similar to that measured in the SHG experiments of Ong, Zhao, and Eisenthal. The calculated value of \( \phi_0 \) reaches a maximum near \(-120 \text{ mV} \) with respect to the bulk liquid potential (assumed to be zero). In addition, the EWC–CRDS measurements are sensitive to the surface coverage of the \( CV^+ \) chromophore. From the fit to the data, this surface coverage \( \theta \) reaches 25% for the highest potential.

The parameters in Table 1 differ somewhat from those obtained by other methods, owing to the experimental conditions of this study and to the assumptions implicit in this model. Although the model assumes that the surface is fused silica, the prism material is BK7 glass and will contain a surface site density and \( pK_a \) values for borate groups. In principle, borate possesses three types of titratable \( OH \) groups, producing a range of site \( pK_a \) values. Variation in the \( pK_a \) values may also be caused by the surface morphology, especially the local extreme surface curvature. Silanol sites in regions of high surface curvature may experience higher electric fields and therefore different surface-group acidities.

The binding coefficients \( K_{CV^+} \) and \( K_{Na^+} \) discussed in this paper are different from those determined previously. We observe differences of approximately 3 orders of magnitude.
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strength in the transition from a Gouy–Chapman potential distribution to a Stern layer interface structure to be investigated using EW-CRDS.

Conclusions

These studies of the silica—water interface using EW-CRDS have provided new experimental insight into the fundamental structure of the charged interface. Competitive binding has been observed between the large surface area chromophore CV+ and the much smaller metal cations. This behavior suggests a number of further studies to investigate the binding of differently sized chromophores and competing cations. The stability of a bilayer at the interface in bulk solutions with high ionic strength may be maintained with other bulky cations, producing a simpler capacitor bilayer structure that is more mathematically tractable. Furthermore, the prospect of kinetic measurement with lower concentrations of chromophore would test the Langmuir adsorption isotherm assumptions and should reveal a variation of binding kinetics with bulk pH.
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